

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,650		02/12/2001	Amold J. Levine	20553D000611 7053		
20350	7590	04/05/2006		EXAMINER		
		TOWNSEND AND	ANGELI	L, JON E		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRAN	CISCO, O	CA 94111-3834		1635		

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/782,650	LEVINE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jon Eric Angell	1635

	Jon Eric Angell	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 March 2006</u> . A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replAMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	·
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	, 5 5 1 5 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	7 77	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` '
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 3,31 and 33.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-7,28-30,32 and 34-38</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:			8/3
		J.E. Angell	JON ANGELL
		✓ PAI	ENIEXAMINE

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment would narrow the scope of the claims to specific embodiments that were not previously specifically claimed. Specifically, claim 1 has been amended such that it is now drawn to a chimeric molecule comprising a peptide angiogenic factor covalently linked to a targeting peptide comprising the targeting peptide sequence that is SEQ ID NO: 1-5 or a functionally equivalent peptide sequence wherein the functionally equivalent peptide sequence differs from the targeting peptide sequence. Previous claim 1 was drawn to a chimeric molecule comprising a peptide angiogenic factor covalently linked to a targeting peptide comprising a sequence from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1-5 and their functionally equivalent sequences having one or two conservative amino acid substitutions. Therefore, the instant claim has been narrowed in scope from any functionally equivalent sequence (i.e., any sequence that is functional equivalent of a sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1-5) that has one or two conservative amino acid substitutions, to any sequence that is SEQ ID NO: 1-5, including functionally equivalent sequences that differ from the targeting peptide sequence by a single conservative amino acid sequence. Therefore, the proposed claim would require a new search and consideration with respect to the new specifically claimed limitations.

JON ANGELL PATENT EXAMINER

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/782,650	LEVINE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jon Eric Angell	1635	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
The amendment document filed on <u>22 March 2006</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the equirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the followitem(s) is required.	ng
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 	
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," o "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawin showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other 	
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual statu of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled) (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. ☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	1
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amend filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amend (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section on non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ment to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable Telephone No. Part of Paper No. 2006	0327

JON ANGELL PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The propsed amendment includes an amendment of claim 1; however, the propsed claim 1 is not a rewriting of previous claim 1 with all of the changes. As indicated in 37 CFR 1.121(c), "Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the entire claim with all changes (e.g., additions and deletions) as indicated in this subsection, except when the claim is being canceled." In the instant case previous claim 1 read: A chimeric molecule comprising a peptide angiogenic factor covalently linked to a targeting peptide capable of specifically binding to cardiac vascular endothelium and wherein the angiogenic peptide comprises a sequence from the group consisting of GGGVFWQ (SEO ID NO:1), HGRVRPH (SEO ID NO:2), WLVTSS (SEO ID NO:3), CLHRGNSC (SEQ ID NO:4), and CRSNRSC (SEO ID NO:5) and their functionally equivalent sequences having one or two conservative amino acid substitutions. The phrase "from the group consisting of" apears in previous claim 1 but is omitted from proposed claim 1 in the 3/22/06 amendment. A proper amendment to claim 1 would include the phrase "from the group consisting of" including strikethrough of the phrase if deletion of the phrase is intended.

JON ANGELL PATENT EXAMINER